UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ORDER OF REFERENCE

Check if previously referred

United Stat	tes of America et al.		
	Plaintiffs,		
	V.	CA/CR No. <u>1:05-cv-10112 RCL</u>	
The Common	nwealth of Massachusetts et al. Defendants.	Criminal Category	
		nited States Magistrates in the United States District Court for the erred to Magistrate Judge Sorokin for the following proceedings:	
(A)	Referred for full pretrial case management	ent, including all dispositive motions.	
(B)	Referred for full pretrial case management	ent, <u>not</u> including dispositive motions:	
(C)	Referred for discovery purposes only.		
(D)	Referred for Report and Recommendat	ion on:	
	(X) Motion(s) for injunctive relief () Motion(s) for judgment on the plead () Motion(s) for summary judgment () Motion(s) to permit maintenance of () Motion(s) to suppress evidence () Motion(s) to dismiss () Post Conviction Proceedings ¹ See Documents Numbered: # (91) N	•	
(E)	Case referred for events only. See Doc. No(s).		
(F)	Case referred for settlement.		
(G)	Service as a special master for hearing, determination and report, subject to the terms of the special order filed herewith: () In accordance with Rule 53, F.R.Civ.P. () In accordance with 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(f)(5)		
(H)	Special Instructions: Referred fo	r Report & Recommendation	
11/27/2007 Date		By: /s/ Steve York Deputy Clerk	
(order refering to	Mj.wpd - 05/2003)		

See reverse side of order for instructions

Case 1:05-cv-10112-RCL Document 97 Filed 11/27/2007 Page 2 of 2

INSTRUCTIONS FOR POST-CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS

In accordance proceeding is		rules governing §2254 and §2255 cases the magistrate judge to whom this post-conviction shall:		
	Make	Make a recommendation as to summary dismissal under Rule 4 of the Rules for §2254 and §2255 cases		
	Appoint counsel if the interests of justice so require			
	Order issuance of appropriate process, if necessary			
		a hearing to determine whether or not an evidentiary hearing must be held and make a nmendation to the district judge		
	shall l	magistrate judge expects to recommend that an evidentiary hearing be held, the magistrate judge hold a pretrial conference for the purpose of narrowing the issue to be tried and submit a memo to strict judge setting forth:		
	(a)	a concise summary of the ultimate facts claimed by (1) petitioner (2) respondent (3) other parties;		
	(b)	the facts established by the pleadings or by stipulations of the parties which may be incorporate by reference;		
	(c)	any jurisdictional questions;		
	(d)	issues of law, including evidentiary questions;		
	(e)	the probable length of the evidentiary hearing.		
		may also require the parties to submit the names of witnesses whom they intend to produce, and t, and submit a schedule of, exhibits which they expect to offer in evidence.		
		any issue concerning which the magistrate judge does not intend to recommend an evidentiary ng, the magistrate judge shall submit a memo which shall:		
	(a)	identify the relevant portions of the record or transcript of prior proceedings;		
	(b)	summarize the relevant facts;		
	(c)	summarize the parties' contentions of law with appropriate citations;		
	(d)	state the recommendations as to the disposition of such contentions of law, and the grounds therefore.		

(Postconv.ins - 09/92)

(order refering to Mj.wpd - 1/20/03)